IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA FLORENCE DIVISION

James Lilly, Jr.,)	C.A. No. 9:06-1038
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
VS.)	ORDER
)	
Marlboro County Sheriff Department;)	
Marlboro Terry; Richard Bryant; George)	
McCleod; and William Smith;)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)	

Plaintiff James Lilly filed the instant *pro se* action on April 7, 2006. (Doc. # 1). The Defendants answered on July 21, 2006. (Doc. #9). On September 15, 2006, the Defendants filed the pending motion for summary judgment. (Doc. #15). The Plaintiff filed his response to the motion for summary judgment on November 21, 2006. (Doc. #20). On December 4, 2006, the Defendants replied. (Doc. #21).

This matter is before the Court upon the Report and Recommendation ("the Report") filed by United States Magistrate Judge George C. Kosko, to whom this matter was previously assigned. (Doc. #23). In the Report, the Magistrate Judge recommends the Defendants' motion for summary judgment be granted. <u>Id.</u> No party to this action has filed objections.

This Court is charged with conducting a <u>de novo</u> review of any portion of the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that report. 28 U.S.C. §

9:06-cv-01038-TLW Date Filed 07/31/07 Entry Number 25 Page 2 of 2

636. In the absence of objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, this

Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v.

Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

The Court has carefully reviewed the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation and

the relevant filings in this case. For the reasons articulated by the Magistrate Judge, and in light of

no party to this action filing objections to the Report, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Magistrate

Judge's Report and Recommendation is **ACCEPTED** and the Defendants' motion for summary

judgment is **GRANTED**. Accordingly, this action is dismissed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

S/ Terry L. Wooten

Terry L. Wooten United States District Judge

July 31, 2007 Florence, South Carolina